Prograr	nme Inforr	nation & PL	.Os				
			uding any year abroad/ in inc	lustry variants			
	1 - 0			,			
Philosoph	y and Sociolo	ΣΛ					
· ·	qualification						
Please sel	•		Level 6				
Dlease in	dicate if the	nrogramme i	is offered with any year abroa	ad / in industry variants		Year in Industry Please select Y/N	No
		<u> </u>		<u> </u>		Year AbroadPlease select Y/N	No
Departme	ent(s): Where	more than one	e department is involved, indicate	the lead department			
Lead Dep		Philosophy					
Other cor							
Departme		Sociology					
	nme Leade	r					
Barry Lee							
Purpos	e and learr	ing outcom	nes of the programme				
Statemer	nt of purpose	for applicants	s to the programme				
Dhiles a sala		- f d		and the control of the C		sial and a same and sulk and forces that	la contra de la contra del la contra del la contra del la contra de la contra del la contra de la contra del l
	_			_		cial processes and cultural forces that	
					-	n together will broaden and deepen yo eatively developing potential solutions	
	-		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_		nonly held assumptions about the ways	
	•		•	•		g. Over the course of the programme y	· ·
						de the disciplines. As a graduate of the	
						tral and local government, education, a	
						king, and culturally sensitive approach	
life and b			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,,		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Program	me Learning		ase provide six to eight statemen				
						s for which progressive achievement th	rough the course of the
· · ·			nich will therefore be reflected in t		ogramme.		
			the programme, graduates will		alatta a a la contra de la contra dela contra de la contra dela contra de la contra del la contra del la contra del la contra del la contra de la contra del la contr	Construction and a state of such	The second secon
			problems, issues, and debates a ig new problems	cross a range of areas of p	philosopny and sociolog	y (including some at the forefront of co	ntemporary work) and apply this
	understanding	ι τι αρρισασιπή	ig new problems				
			ing ethical and social issues effection complexities of present-day socies.		nallenging common assu	imptions and applying philosophical co	ncepts, sociological theories, and

Develop and articulate ranges of alternative solutions to complex problems in an open-minded and imaginative way, and establish ways of making progress in answering questions even where it is unclear in the first instance how to proceed or what the standards for a good answer to the question might be

Lay out what can be said for and against proposed solutions to philosophical problems, and make a measured judgement about what is the best solution in each case, supporting that judgement with a sustained line of argument based on the considerations raised

Design and undertake ethical, responsible sociological research which draws upon appropriate qualitative and/or quantitative skills to produce empirically rigorous analysis of social issues

Critically synthesise and communicate complex information and arguments in clear, precise, and accessible terms in appropriate formats

Work effectively and creatively as a thinker, learner and researcher, individually and in collaboration with others, in a manner which is respectful of diverse views, values and the cultural positions of others

Programme Learning Outcome for year in industry (where applicable)

For programmes which lead to the title 'with a Year in Industry' – typically involving an additional year – please provide either a) amended versions of some (at least one, but not necessarily all) of the standard PLOs listed above, showing how these are changed and enhanced by the additional year in industry b) an additional PLO, if and only if it is not possible to capture a key ability developed by the year in industry by alteration of the standard PLOs.

N/A

Programme Learning Outcome for year abroad programmes (where applicable)

For programmes which lead to the title 'with a Year Abroad' – typically involving an additional year – please provide either a) amended versions of some (at least one, but not necessarily all) of the standard PLOs listed above, showing how these are changed and enhanced by the additional year abroad or b) an additional PLO, if and only if it is not possible to capture a key ability developed by the year abroad by alteration of the standard PLOs.

Explanation of the choice of Programme Learning OutcomesPlease explain your rationale for choosing these PLOs in a statement that can be used for students (such as in a student handbook). Please include brief reference to:

i) Why the PLOs are considered ambitious or stretching?

These learning outcomes are designed to challenge students to explore problems in philosophy and sociology from a variety of standpoints, and using a variety of methodologies. Students explore complex puzzles, including some at the forefront of contemporary research (PLO 1). They systematically and rigorously challenge common assumptions (PLO 2). They learn to bring an open mind and imagination to bear in assessing problems (PLO 3), working out for themselves what they think the best solutions to problems are (PLO 4). And they explore and utilize different research methodologies (PLO 5). Each of these skills is challenging and stretching in a way that will leave graduates better and more flexible thinkers, ready to take on a diverse range of challenges.

ii) The ways in which these outcomes are distinctive or particularly advantageous to the student:

The programme brings together two subtly but significantly different disciplines in a mutually enriching way. In their work in sociology, students develop their abilities to interrogate, describe and interpret real-world social structures and issues, gaining critical sensitivity and a diverse range of skills. In philosophy, students enhance their abilities in analysis, the development of solutions to problems, and the deployment of precise logical argument. Graduates of the programme are able engage with real-world social issues in sensitive ways, showing a keen awareness of how social factors can inform our thinking, and they can also apply dispassionate logical skills to evaluating ideas and proposals at high levels of abstraction. This combination of social sensitivity and sharp critical reasoning is distinctive, highly transferable, and also allows philosophy and sociology graduates to be thoughtful citizens and effective agents for positive change in society.

iii) How the programme learning outcomes develop students' digital literacy and will make appropriate use of technology-enhanced learning (such as lecture recordings, online resources, simulations, online assessment, 'flipped classrooms' etc)?

While our PLOs do not make explicit mention of digital literacy, the programme makes extensive use of technology-enhanced learning. Modules are supported with extensive online resources, such as module outlines, module blogs and wikis, lecture notes, seminar guidance, readings, podcasts, videos, and supplementary notes. Essay submissions in both departments are online.

The sociology department is exploring ways to deepen the impact and reach of digital literacy throughout sociology modules -- particularly the Cultivating module (which joint Philosophy/Sociology students take) -- by (i) making those capabilities addressed in existing teaching (e.g., information, data and media) more explicit and (ii) planning new teaching to address less developed capabilities (e.g. digital identity). Within philosophy, most lectures are available in online recordings, and some philosophy modules, e.g. Beginning Philosophy, deliver online content and feature online assessments.

iv) How the PLOs support and enhance the students' employability (for example, opportunities for students to apply their learning in a real world setting)? The programme's employability objectives should be informed by the University's Employability Strategy:

http://www.york.ac.uk/about/departments/support-and-admin/careers/staff/

There is a very good match between the skills highlighted in the PLOs and what research shows employers want university-level education to emphasize. Among the top list of attributes employers most desire in their employees (Hart Research Associates, 2013, https://aacu.org/sites/default/files/files/LEAP/2013_EmployerSurvey.pdf) are:

- Critical thinking and analytical reasoning skills, 82%
- The ability to analyze and solve complex problems, 81%
- Effective communication 80%
- Application of skills and knowledge to real-world issues, 78%
- Extraction and organization of information, 72%
- The ability to innovate and be creative, 71%
- Teamwork skills applicable to diverse settings, 67%
- Ethical awareness, 64%

The PLOs for the Philosophy/Sociology degree are tailored to promote these attributes/skills:

- Critical thinking and analytical reasoning skills (PLOs 1, 2, 3, 4, 6)
- The ability to analyze and solve complex problems (PLOs 2, 3, 4, 5)
- Effective communication (PLO 6)
- Application of skills and knowledge to real-world issues (PLO 5)
- Extraction and organization of information (PLOs 1, 6)
- The ability to innovate and be creative (PLOs 3. 5)
- Teamwork skills applicable to diverse settings (PLO 7)
- Ethical awareness (PLO 5)

While some employer-desired skills and attributes might change over time, depending on technology or psychological fads, critical thinking, communication, and the ability to work with others are timeless skills that are not going out of fashion any time soon.

vi) How will students who need additional support for academic and transferable skills be identified and supported by the Department?

We give significant support to students who need additional support in developing academic and transferable skills. This is done (i) by module leaders in the relevant departments, and (ii) by the student's supervisor — who may be in either the Philosophy or Sociology department. Students are warmly encouraged to make use of module tutors' office hours where they are struggling with material. And module leaders explicitly look out for students having difficulty or failing to attend seminars — contacting either the student directly or the student's supervisor to provide additional support.

Supervisors identify students in difficulty by reviewing attendance through regular supervision meetings, reviewing attendance, and communication with module leaders. They ensure that students in need receive appropriate support through the relevant department and/or utilizing the appropriate university support services (e.g. the Open Door Team, Disability Services, the Careers Office).

The Philosophy department has sponsored — and intends to continue sponsoring workshops together with the Careers Office on postgraduate study. In Sociology, library staff make contributions to year one teaching, and the department intends to continue with Academic Skills Office to include their staff more visibly in the programme in later years.

vii) How is teaching informed and led by research in the department/centre/University?

The philosophy and sociology departments have a strong commitment to research-led teaching. A wide range of specialist modules in the final year are designed to provide a structured environment for teaching that is centered around the research interests of members of individual members of the department. As described in the enhancement plan, students additionally have — and are expected to continue to have — opportunities for independent study in both disciplines, providing them with the chance to conduct independent research under the supervision of staff members who are leading experts in their areas.

Stage-level progression

Please complete the table below, to summarise students' progressive development towards the achievement of PLOs, in terms of the characteristics that you expect students to demonstrate at the end of each year. This summary may be particularly helpful to students and the programme team where there is a high proportion of option modules.

Note: it is not expected that a position statement is written for each PLO, but this can be done if preferred (please add information in the 'individual statement' boxes). For a statement that applies across all PLOs in the stage fill in the 'Global statement' box.

Stage 0 (if your programme has a Foundation year, use the toggles to the left to show the hidden rows)

Stage 1

explain the main features of some key problems, issues, and debates in philosophy presented in module materials Understand and explain some key fundamental concepts, terminology, and notation required for understanding philosophical texts and discussions Use introductory core disciplinary concepts and theories to identify the sociological significance of contemporary issues		philosophical problems and issues, be able to articulate some alternative solutions laid out in central module materials (including set readings, lecture slides, and handouts)	Articulate arguments laid out in central module materials for and against the alternative solutions considered in relation to a particular philosophical problem, and go on to make a judgement about what is the best view on that problem, relating this to the evidence considered, showing sensitivity to key features of arguments including validity and plausibility of premisses	principles of planning and organising research through working on scoping studies which draw upon appropriate empirical skills to produce summary analysis of social issues	arguments in clear and straightforward terms, in writing and orally	make notes on module materials using study guidance questions —Be able to apply some basic strategies for approaching philosophical texts with a view to understanding and evaluating them —Be able to schedule work on set module materials effectively —Be able to formulate responses to basic study questions and present these orally —Be able to listen attentively to the oral contributions of others and give a brief summary of what is said —Be able to work creatively in teams by cooperating with others in a manner which is respectful of diverse views, values and the cultural position of others —Be able to identify strengths and weaknesses on the basis of considering feedback, and seek help with improving performance on that basis	
--	--	---	--	---	---	--	--

On progression from th	e second year (Stage 2),	students will be able to:	engage with prima of the academic fic which students lea	ary research in core and seld. This is evidenced thr	solidate their understand specialist sociological top ough a series of individu evelop their own analytio ues and situations.	pics and use their unders al assessments and grou	standing and awareness up activities, through
			in some key topic expected to tackle their own understanding of I argue for their view	areas in philosophy. The more difficult puzzles a anding and exploring the key problems, issues, po w on a question or issue	evelop reasonable depth ey are given key readings nd materials and/or are e relevant literature than sitions, and arguments ir , and to consider and we hey are expected to be a	and introduced to the is expected to do more in t in Year 1. They are exp oclear and concise terms igh objections to central	ssues, but they are terms of developing ected to express their s. They are expected to larguments and
PLO 1	PLO 2	PLO 3	PLO 4	PLO 5	PLO 6	PLO 7	PLO 8

Understand and explain key problems, issues, and debates across a range of areas of philosophy and sociology	Interpret social problems and situations effectively by challenging common assumptions systematically, applying a critical appreciation of evidence-based claims concerning contemporary issues and cultural trends	Develop and articulate ranges of alternative solutions to philosophical problems and issues in an openminded way, drawing on module materials and seeking out novel alternatives through research, extrapolation, and analogy—applying lessons from previous study to novel problems	Articulate arguments for and against the alternative solutions considered in relation to a particular philosophical problem, drawing on module materials, identifying some points of weakness and some potential options for development, and go on to make a judgement about what is the best view on a particular problem and provide a defence of this judgement, weighing objections to arguments and responses to these objections	Design responsible sociological research, demonstrating awareness of qualitative and/or quantitative skills appropriate to empirically rigorous analysis of social issues	Communicate complex and difficult ideas in clear, precise, and accessible terms in a variety of formats	—Be able to read and make notes on texts, identifying key claims and arguments —Be able to make cogent notes on lecture presentations —Be able to apply some strategies for approaching novel texts with a view to understanding and evaluating them —Be able to schedule independent work relating to extended module reading lists and a relatively demanding schedule for completion of tasks —Be able to formulate summaries of sections of texts and present these orally —Be able to work creatively in teams by cooperating with others in a manner which is respectful of diverse views, values and the cultural position of others —Be able to apply strategies for improving work, based on a critical reflection, advice, and feedback, and evaluate one's own work in relation to stated criteria	
--	---	--	---	---	---	---	--

(For Integrated Masters) On progression from the third year (Stage 3), students will be able to:

In Sociology, students are expected to be able to apply their understanding of core and specialist sociological concepts to emerging social issues and to translate the findings of primary research in core and specialist sociological topics within their own analyses of social situations and cultural areas of enquiry.

In Philosophy, students are expected to engage with difficult issues and material—including some at the forefront of contemporary work—and work autonomously to develop understanding. They are expected to work autonomously to arrive at a view on particular questions/issues, and present a sustained line of argument in defence of this judgement. They are expected to have a more sophisticated appreciation of the ways in which their position and initial arguments might be resisted: identifying objections and responses, and evaluating their relative strength.

PLO 1	PLO 2	PLO 3	PLO 4	PLO 5	PLO 6	PLO 7	PLO 8
Understand and	Address and evaluate	Develop and	Lay out what can be	Design and undertake	Critically synthesise	Work effectively and	
explain key problems,	ethical and social	articulate ranges of	said for and against	ethical, responsible	and communicate	creatively as a	
issues, and debates	issues effectively by	alternative solutions	proposed solutions to	sociological research	complex information	thinker, learner and	
across a range of	systematically	to philosophical	philosophical	which draws upon	and arguments in	researcher,	
areas of philosophy	challenging common	problems in an open-	problems, and make a	appropriate	clear, precise, and	individually and in	
and sociology	assumptions and	minded and	measured judgement	qualitative and/or	accessible terms in	collaboration with	
(including some at the	applying philosophical	imaginative way, and	about what is the best	quantitative skills to	appropriate formats	others, in a manner	
forefront of	concepts, sociological	establish ways of	solution in each case,	produce empirically		which is respectful of	
contemporary work)	theories, and critical	making progress in	supporting that	rigorous analysis of		diverse views, values	
and apply this	understanding of the	answering questions	judgement with a	social issues		and the cultural	
understanding in	complexities of	even where it is	sustained line of			positions of others	
approaching new	present-day societies	unclear in the first	argument based on				
problems		instance how to	the considerations				
		proceed or what the	raised				
		standards for a good					
		answer to the					
		question might be					

Programme Structure

Module Structure and Summative Assessment Map

Please complete the summary table below which shows the module structure and the pattern of summative assessment through the programme.

'Option module' can be used in place of a specific named option. If the programme requires students to select option modules from specific lists these lists should be provided in the next section.

From the drop-down select 'S' to indicate the start of the module, 'A' to indicate the timing of each distinct summative assessment point (eg. essay submission/ exam), and 'E' to indicate the end of the module (if the end of the module coincides with the summative assessment select 'EA'). It is not expected that each summative task will be listed where an overall module might be assessed cumulatively (for example weekly problem sheets).

If summative assessment by exams will be scheduled in the summer Common Assessment period (weeks 5-7) a single 'A' can be used within the shaded cells as it is understood that you will not know in which week of the CAP the examination will take place.

Stage 0 (if you have modules for Stage 0, use the toggles to the left to show the hidden rows)

Stage 1

Credits	Me	odule				Aı	utum	n Te	rm							S	pring	Terr	n							-	Sur	mme	r Ter	m			
	Code	Title	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	1	2		3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
10	PHI00001C	Beginning Philosophy		s																	Α	EA											
		Reason and																															
10	PHI00006C	Argument B		S				<u> </u>		<u> </u>		E	Α			<u> </u>									\perp								
10	PHI00002C	Early Modern Philosophy B		s								E	Α																				
20	PHI00007C	Ethics												S								Е							Α				
10	PHI00010C	Introduction to Ancient Philosophy B																					s			E	Ξ		Α				
30	SOC00001C	Cultivating a Sociological Imagination		S								А										А			E					Α			
30	SOC00002C	Introduction to Sociological Theory		s								A										A			E					Α			
						ļ		<u> </u>		<u> </u>						ļ									\perp								
			_		ļ	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		<u> </u>						<u> </u>								ļ	\bot	_							
				-	-	-		<u> </u>		<u> </u>														ļ	+								
Stage 2																																	
Credits	Me	odule				Aı	utum	n Te	rm							S	pring	Terr	n								Sur	mme	r Ter	m			
	Code	Title	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	1	2	Τ:	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
including Autumn, of and two 1 (Option m Spring ter	take 60 credits in phione 20-credit Key Ideone 20-credit Key Ideone 20-credit Ney Ideone 20-credit Option Moduodules may be taken in the lay and Sociology Joir	eas module in eas module in Spring, ules in Summer. n in the Autumn and e permission of the																															
20 each	Various	Key Ideas Module – Autumn		s								E	Α																				
20 each	Various	Key Ideas Module - Spring		3								<u> </u>	Α	s									Α				Ξ		A				\Box
10 each		Option Module		1_								_															-						
40	Various	– Autumn	<u> </u>	S	ļ	-		├		├		E	Α			-							-	ļ	+	_							\vdash
10 each		Option Module – Spring (Reading																															
	Various	Group, Essay												٥								l _⊏											
10 each	Various	Group, Essay assessed) Option Module – Spring (Lecture- based, Exam												S								E	Α										

10 each		Option Module – Summer (both																														
	Various	types)																					s			E		Α		i		
plan to do	ake 60 credits in So a dissertation in thi search Methods.	ociology. If students rd year, they must take																														
30	SOC00003I	Social Research Methods		S								Α											Α		E				EA			
30	SOC00004I	Popular Culture, Media and Society		s																		A			E				EA			
30	SOC00005I	Contemporary Political Sociology		S									Α												E				EA			
30	SOC00001I	Gender, Sexuality and Inequality		s																		Α			E				EA			
30	SOC00007I	Sociology of Health and illness		S																		Α			E				EA			
30	SOC00020I	Divisions and Inequalities		s																		Α			E				EA			
30	SOC00006I	Social Interaction and Conversation Analysis		S								A										A			E				EA			
																																\exists
																														\square		
		+				\vdash				-																\vdash				\vdash	\dashv	\dashv
																																\neg
Stage 3																																
Credits		odule				1 1	itum		1			1				S		Terr								Sur	mme		г т			
	Code	Title	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

Solutions also the to the following options: 80 credits from Philosophy List A; 60 credits from Sociology. 80 credits from Philosophy List A; 60 credits from Philosophy List A; 80 credits from Sociology List C; (Students have the option of replacing 20 redits in Philosophy with an elective or LFA module. Note that the aviability of Philosophy with an elective or LFA module. Note that the aviability of Philosophy List A; 60 credits from Sociology. 80 credits of Philosophy, 40 credits of Sociology: 80 credits of Philosophy, 40 credits of Sociology: 80 credits of Philosophy, 13t A; 20 credits from Sociology List C 40 credits of Philosophy, 41 credits of Sociology used that the service of the service	Ot should take an a of the fall assign autic		1	-	_	 		_	1	-	 					 		 -1	1	
	Students take one of the following option	ns:																		
- 80 credits from Philosophy List A; 80 credits from Sociology List C - 60 credits from Philosophy, List A; 20 credits from Philosophy, List C 40 credits of Philosophy, 40 credits of Sociology modules plus 40 credit Sociology dissertation: - 40 credits for Sociology dissertation: - 40 credits for Sociology dissertation: - 40 credits Sociology List C plus 40 credit Sociology modules plus 40 credit Sociology dissertation: - 40 credits for Philosophy, List A; 40 credits from Sociology List C plus 40 credit Sociology dissertation: - 40 credits from Philosophy List A; 40 credits from Sociology List C plus 40 credit Sociology dissertation: - 40 credits from Philosophy Research-Led 20 each Various Modules in the A, B, and C lists vary from year to year. Philosophy Research-Led 20 each Various Module (Spring) - 8 E A 10 each Philosophy Option Module - Spring (Reading Group, Essay) Various assessed) S E A 10 each Philosophy Option Module - Spring (Lecture- Spring (L	 60 credits from Philosophy List A; 60 Sociology List C, OR 40 credits from Philosophy List A; 20 Philosophy List B; 60 credits from Socio (Students have the option of replacing 2 Philosophy with an elective or LFA mod that the avilability of Philosophy Year 2 Option modules is subject to timetabling 	credits from credits from blogy List C 20 credits in ule. Note 10-credit																		
modules plus 40 credit Sociology dissertation:	 — 80 credits from Philosophy List A; 60 Sociology List C — 60 credits from Philosophy List A; 20 	credits from																		
year to year. Philosophy Research-Led Module (Autumn) S E A Module (Autumn) S E A Module (Autumn) S E A Module (Spring)	modules <i>plus</i> 40 credit Sociology disser — 40 credits from Philosophy List A; 40 Sociology List C <i>plus</i> 40 credit Sociology	tation: credits from																		
Research-Led Module (Autumn) S	year to year.																			
Research-Led Module (Spring) 10 each Various Philosophy Option Module S E A 10 each Various Philosophy Option Module S E A 10 each Philosophy Option Module S E A 10 each Various Sessed S E A 10 each Philosophy Option Module Sesses Sessed S E A 10 each Philosophy Option Module Sesses Sessed S E A 10 each Philosophy Option Module Sesses Sessed S E A 10 each Philosophy Option Module Sesses Sessed S E A 10 each Philosophy Option Module Sesses Sessed S E A 10 each Philosophy Option Module Sesses Sessed S S E E A 10 each Philosophy Option Module Sesses Sessed S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	Resea	rch-Led	s			E		A												
10 each Philosophy Option Module Autumn S E A	Resea	rch-Led						s					E		A					
10 each	Option	Module	s			E	A													
10 each	10 each Philoso Option - Sprir Group	ophy I Module ng (Reading , Essay						s					F	А						
10 each Philosophy Option Module – Summer (both	10 each Philose Option Spring based,	ophy Module – (Lecture- , Exam															<i>,</i>			
	10 each Philoso Option – Sum	ophy Module mer (both												s		F				

10	Various	Philosophy Advanced Module												S			EA			
40	SOC00024H	Sociology Dissertation	s															EA		
20 each	Various	Sociology Research-Led Module (Autumn)	s				E	Α												
20 each	Various	Sociology Research-Led Module (Spring)							S				E	Α						

Optional module lists

If the programme requires students to select option modules from specific lists these lists should be provided below. If you need more space, use the toggles on the left to reveal ten further hidden rows.

Option List A - Philosophy Research-							
led Modules (run							
Autumn or Spring)							
(example selection							
only—modules	Option List B -	Option List C -					
offered may vary from	Philosophy 10-credit	Sociology Year 3					
year to year)	Modules	Modules	Option List D	Option List E	Option List F	Option List G	Option List H
Contemporary Moral	Philosophy Option	Sociology Research-					
Theory	Module – Autumn	Led Module (Autumn)					
German Idealism:	Philosophy Option	Sociology Research-					
Moral, Legal, and	Module – Spring	Led Module (Spring)					
Political Philosophy	(Reading Group, Essay						
	assessed)						
Metaphysics of Mind	Philosophy Option						
	Module – Spring						
	(Lecture-based, Exam						
	assessed)						
Personal Identity	Philosophy Option						
	Module – Summer						
	(both types)						

			 				_				 	_		 	
Philosophy of Art from Hume to Tolstoy	Philosophy Advanced														
Hume to Tolstoy	Module (Summer, an														
	independent essay														
	project based on														
	materials from a														
	Philosophy Research-														
	led Module taken by														
	the student earlier in														
	the year)														
Philosophy of															
Christianity															
Philosophy of Physics															
Topics in Indian															
Philosophy															
Consciousness															
Contemporary Issues in Bioethics															
Foundations of															
Mathematics															
Heidegger															
Philosophy of Action															
Philosophy of															
Emotions															
Philosophy of Film															
Pragmatism															
The Value and															
Meaning of Life															
							-			-		-			
							-			+					

Management and Admissions Information This document applies to students who commenced the programme(s) in: 2017/18 Interim awards available Interim awards available on undergraduate programmes (subject to programme regulations) will normally be: Certificate of Higher Education (Level 4/Certificate), Diploma of Higher Education (Level 5/Intermediate), Ordinary Degree and in the case of Integrated Masters the Bachelors with honours. Please specify any proposed exceptions to this norm. Certificate of Higher Education (Level 4/Certificate) Generic Diploma of Higher Education (Level 5/Intermediate) Generic **Admissions Criteria** TYPICAL OFFERS A levels AAB (A*BB or A*AC from three A levels) AAA BTEC Extended Diploma DDD (may vary for combined programmes) Length and status of the programme(s) and mode(s) of study Mode Status (full-Start dates/months **Programme** Length (if applicable – for programmes time/part-(vears) that have multiple intakes or Face-to-face, campus-based time) **Distance learning** Other Please start dates that differ from the select usual academic year) BA (Hons) Philosophy/Sociology 3 Full-time Please select Y/N Please select Y/N No Yes n/a Language(s) of study English. Language(s) of assessment English. Programme accreditation by Professional, Statutory or Regulatory Bodies (PSRB) Is the programme recognised or accredited by a PSRB if No move to next Section Please Select Y/N: No

if Yes complete the following questions

Name of PSRB
Name of F3ND
Are there any conditions on the approval/ accreditation of the programme(s)/ graduates (for example accreditation only for the full award and not any interim award)
The there any contains on the approva, accreament of the programme (o), graduates (for example accreament only for the fall and not any internal and not any
Additional Professional or Vocational Standards
Are there any additional requirements of accrediting bodies or PSRB or pre-requisite professional experience needed to study this programme?
Please Select Y/N: if Yes, provide details
(max 200 words)
University award regulations
The University's award and assessment regulations apply to all programmes: any exceptions that relate to this programme are approved by University Teaching Committee and are recorded at the end of this document.
Are students on the programme permitted to take elective modules?
(See: https://www.york.ac.uk/media/staffhome/learningandteaching/documents/policies/Framework%20for%20Programme%20Design%20-%20UG.pdf)
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Please Select Y/N:
Careers & Placements - 'With Placement Year' programmes
Students on all undergraduate and integrated masters programmes may apply to spend their third year on a work-based placement facilitated by Careers & Placements.
Such students would return to their studies at Stage 3 in the following year, thus lengthening their programme by a year. Successful completion of the placement year and
associated assessment allows this to be recognised in programme title, which is amended to include 'with Placement Year' (e.g. BA in XYZ with Placement Year'). The
Placement Year also adds a Programme Learning Outcome, concerning employability. (See Careers & Placements for details).
In exceptional circumstances, UTC may approve an exemption from the 'Placement Year' initiative. This is usually granted only for compelling reasons concerning
accreditation; if the Department already has a Year in Industry with criteria sufficiently generic so as to allow the same range of placements; or if the programme is less
than three years in length.
Programme excluded
from Placement Year? No If yes, what are the reasons for this exemption:
Study Abroad (including Year Abroad as an additional year and replacement year)

Students on all programmes may apply to spend St programme is on a competitive basis. Marks from r		orth America/ Asia/ Australia student exchange programme. Acceptance onto the years count toward progression and classification.
F - 6		,
	ndertake other formally agreed	study abroad activities? All such programmes must comply with the Policy on Study
Abroad		
https://www.york.ac.uk/staff/teaching/procedure/	/programmes/design/	
Please Select Y/N: No		
Additional information		
Transfers out of or into the programme		
ii) Transfers into the programme will be possible?		
(please select Y/N)		
Additional details:		
ii) Transfers out of the programme will be possible?	3	
(please select Y/N)	9	
Additional details:		
Exceptions to University Award Regulations appro	oved by University Teaching Co	mmittee
Exception		Date approved
Please detail any exceptions to University Award Regula	ations approved by UTC	
Date on which this programme information was u	ıpdated:	
18/01/2017		

Please note:

The information above provides a concise summary of the main features of the programme and the learning outcomes that a typical student might reasonably be expected to achieve and demonstrate if they take full advantage of the learning opportunities that are provided.

Detailed information on the learning outcomes, content, delivery and assessment of modules can be found in the module descriptions.

The University reserves the right to modify this overview in unforeseen circumstances, or where the process of academic development, based on feedback from staff, students, external examiners or professional bodies, requires a change to be made. Students will be notified of any substantive changes at the first available opportunity.

Programme Map

Please note: the programme map below is in interim format pending the development of a University Programme Catalogue.

Programme Map: Module Contribution to Programme Learning Outcomes

This table maps the contribution to programme learning outcomes made by each module, in terms of the advance in understanding/ expertise acquired or reinforced in the module, the work by which students achieve this advance and the assessments that test it. This enables the programme rationale to be understood:

- · Reading the table vertically illustrates how the programme has been designed to deepen knowledge, concepts and skills progressively. It shows how the progressive achievement of PLOs is supported by formative work and evaluated by summative assessment. In turn this should help students to understand and articulate their development of transferable skills and to relate this to other resources, such as the Employability Tutorial and York Award;
- · Reading the table horizontally explains how the experience of a student at a particular time includes a balance of activities appropriate to that stage, through the design of modules.

Stage	Module				Programme Lea	rning Outcomes			
		PLO1	PLO2	PLO3	PLO4	PLO5	PLO6	PLO7	PLO8
		Understand and	Address and	Develop and	Lay out what can	Design and	Critically	Work effectively	#REF!
		explain key	evaluate	articulate ranges	be said for and	undertake	synthesise and	and creatively as	
		problems, issues,	pressing ethical	of alternative	against proposed	ethical,	communicate	a thinker, learner	
		and debates	and social issues	solutions to	solutions to	responsible	complex	and researcher,	
		across a range of	effectively by	complex	philosophical	sociological	information and	individually and	
		areas of	systematically	problems in an	problems, and	research which	arguments in	in collaboration	
		philosophy and	challenging	open-minded	make a	draws upon	clear, precise,	with others, in a	
			common	and imaginative	measured	appropriate	and accessible	manner which is	
		(including some	assumptions and	• •	judgement about	•	terms in	respectful of	
		at the forefront	applying	establish ways of		and/or	appropriate	diverse views,	
			philosophical	, ,,	solution in each	quantitative	formats	values and the	
		work) and apply	concepts,	in answering	case, supporting	skills to produce		cultural positions	
			sociological	questions even	that judgement	empirically		of others	
		understanding in		where it is	with a sustained	rigorous analysis			
		approaching new		unclear in the	line of argument	of social issues			
			understanding of		based on the				
			the complexities	or what the	considerations raised				
			of present-day		raised				
			societies	standards for a					
				good answer to the question					
				might be					
				illigiit be					

Stage 1	Beginning	Progress towards	Students will	Students will	Students will: (i)	Stud	lents will	KEY: Students	
	Philosophy	PLO	develop a basic	develop	develop their	deve	elop their	will: (i) reflect on	
	PHI00001C		understanding of	awareness of	ability to argue	abilit	ty to	and develop their	
	10 Credits		a range of	some different	and assess	com	municate	understanding of	
			problems and	solutions to and	arguments; (ii)	nove	el ideas in	what is	
			approaches in	approaches to	develop their	writi	ing and their	distinctive and	
			from 'applied'	solving	argumentation	abilit	ty to	new to them	
			and 'theoretical'	philosophical	skills. In working	struc	cture an	about university	
			philosophy and	problems	to reach a	essa	y	level study of	
			its history		definite	inde	pendently	philosophy (e.g.	
					conclusion about			the way in which	
					a philosophical			a successful	
					issue in response			essay is one	
					to a particular			which presents a	
					question,			sustained line of	
					students will			argument, rather	
					develop (a) their			than a selection	
					ability to select			of disparate	
					relevant material			considerations),	
					and points to			and what they	
					make and (b)			need to do in	
					their ability to			order to write	
					reach a			well and engage	
					conclusion which			appropriately	
					favours one view			with the course	
					over others for			material; (ii)	
					demonstrable			develop	
					reasons			strategies for	
								overcoming	
								problems with	
								their written	
								work, and be	
								aware of what	
								they do well and	
								where there is	
								room for	
								improvement	

	By working on (and if applicable, assessed through)	'taster' lectures on a wide variety of philosophical topics	lectures and researching an essay on a chosen topic from the 'taster' lectures	By (respectively): (i) completing the Critical Thinking element of the online module material; (ii) writing an essay on a chosen topic from the 'taster' lectures	By writing an essay on a chosen topic from the 'taster' lectures	By (respectively): (i) working though the online tutorials; (ii) reading, reflecting upon and perhaps talking about the feedback provided on their essay	
Stage 1 Reason and Argument B PHI00006C 10 Credits	Progress towards PLO	BOTH KEY: Students will: (i) acquire the basic logical literacy (abilities with concepts, terminology, and notation of logic) required for understanding philosophical texts and discussions in the remainder of the programme; (ii) be able to demonstrate a critical awareness of the workings of language	Students will develop their abilities to produce candidate solutions	KEY: Students will come to be able to apply the concepts and techniques of logic to clarify, articulate, and evaluate arguments in a systematic way		Students will develop their abilities to collaborate in seeking solutions to problems	

By working on	By (respectively):	By tackling	By tackling	By discussing and	
(and if applicable,	1 1 1	-	puzzles and	evaluating	
assessed	express claims	problems	problems	answers to set	
through)	and arguments	concerning the	concerning the	questions in	
	expressed in	meaning of and	meaning of and	seminars	
	natural language	logical relations	logical relations		
	in logical terms;	between claims	between claims		
	(ii) tackling				
	puzzles and				
	problems				
	concerning the				
	meaning of and				
	logical relations				
	between claims				

Stage 1	Early Modern	Progress towards	Students will be	Students will	Students will	Students will: (i)	Students will: (i)	
	Philosophy B	PLO	able to	develop their	develop their	develop their	develop their	
	PHI00002C		understand and	ability to identify	abilities to (i)	ability to express	abilities to work	
	10 Credits		explain some key	and characterize	identify and	themselves	effectively in an	
			debates about	solutions	describe key	orally; (ii)	independent way	
			the nature of the		arguments in the	improve their	and engage in	
			world and our		work of others,		close reading to	
			experience and		and (ii) to make a	philosophical	develop	
			knowledge of it		judgement on	issues in writing	understanding;	
					what is the best		(ii) develop their	
					response to a		ability to engage	
					philosophical		in fruitful	
					puzzle		philosophical	
							discussion with	
							others—making	
							clear, concise,	
							and relevant	
							contributions,	
							and listening	
							carefully to	
							others	

By working on	By engaging in	By examining the	By engaging in	By (respectively):	By (respectively):
(and if applicable	, close reading of		and reflecting on		(i) engaging in
assessed	some carefully	responses to	extended		study where the
through)	selected texts	philosophical	seminar	to the texts in	emphasis is on
	from the Early	questions	discussions of	extended	seminar
	Modern period	presented by	texts presenting	seminar	preparation and
	(including pieces	opposed seminar	opposed views,	discussions; (ii)	discussion
	by figures like	readings and	and by preparing	writing essays on	involving primary
	Descartes and	responding to	a summative	selected issues	texts; (ii)
	Malebranche)	seminar	essay	covered in the	engaging in
	which debate key	preparation		course and	extended
	questions, and by	guidance		reflecting on	seminar
	participating in			feedback given	discussions
	extended				
	seminar				
	discussions of				
	these texts				

Stage 1	Ethics	Progress towards	Students will be	KEY: Students will		Students will:		Students will: (i)	Students will:	
	PHI00007C	PLO	able to engage	form a critical	appreciate and	(I) [KEY] develop		develop their	(I) develop their	
	20 Credits		with (debate and	understanding of		their ability to		ability to state	capacity to work	
			deliberate about)		some of the	assess ideas in		key ideas and	in a focused way	
			at least some of		_	relation to the			under self-	
			the most	challenges their	solutions	arguments which		(ii) develop and	direction,	
			important ideas	common	available in the	might be used to		demonstrate	highlighting	
			in a range of	assumptions and	debates studied;	support them,		their ability to	aspects of their	
			fundamental	preconceptions	(ii) reflect upon	and become		express	work with which	
			debates in Ethics		the possible	more familiar		themselves	they need further	
			and the structure		solutions in a	with a range of			help;	
			and content of		creative and	argumentative		under pressure	(II) learn to	
			some key		engaged way	strategies which			articulate their	
			arguments		which is apt to	might be			views clearly and	
			employed to		bring them to	employed by			sensitively,	
			defend various		develop their	them in novel			respect and	
			views in those		own distinct	ways;			respond critically	
			debates; and		solutions	(II) develop their			but appropriately	
			students will also			ability to			to others' ideas,	
			develop and			articulate			and take	
			demonstrate			arguments in a			advantage of the	
			their ability to			precise and			understanding	
			explain these			perspicuous way,			and ideas others	
			things to others			highlighting what			have;	
			in discussion			is most			(III) develop their	
						contentious and			ability to form a	
						most important;			rounded	
						(III) [KEY] develop			understanding of	
						and refine their			a topic area	
						understanding of			which can be	
						the possible			deployed flexibly	
						views and of the			to address a	
						arguments which			newly-presented	
						might be used to			question	
						support those				
						views, and they				
						will develop their				
						ability to				
						determine which				
						view – if any –				
						has the most to				
						recommend it,				
						taking into				
						account not only				
						the cogency of				
						the particular				
						arguments in				
						question but also				
						any other factors				
		1	1	1	i e	which might	i .	i	1	

recommend

and recent work in the field applicable, and discussing the problems in the field applicable in the fi	<u> </u>	5 11:1:		D ()	5 /	1	<u> </u>	5 /	
assessed through) both theoretical and and being introduced to a marge of different philosophy, philosophy, philosophical concepts to the study of morality applying philosophical concepts to the study of morality and discussion and production of and/or arage of different angle of different and produced to a range of different attention on the applying philosophical concepts to the study of morality applying applying applying and season and production of two exacystyle applied ones about, e.g., the moral concepts to the study of morality applying applying applying and season and production to the applying applying and applying an									
through) and 'applied' issues in moral issues, for a superior and philosophy, stimulated by ideas from carefully selected historical texts and recent work in the field of the properties of the			_		• •				
Issues in moral philosophy, stimulated by ideas from carefully selected historical texts and recent work in the field of t			_		•		·		
philosophy, attention on the simulated by ideas from carefully selected historical texts and recent work in the field wiew, and applying philosophical concepts to the study of morality in the field wife was a process of the study of morality in the field wife was a process of the study of morality in the field wife was a process of the study of morality in the field wife was a process of the study of morality in the field wife was a process of the study of morality in the field wife was a process of the study of morality in the field was a process of the study of morality in the study of morality in the study of morality in the search of the firm with their merits in the field was a process of the search of								•	
stimulated by ideas from carefully selected historical texts and recent work in the field will be a supposed to the study of morality in the field will be a supposed to the substitutions in a supposed to the substitution of the supposed to the substitution of the substi								-	
ideas from carefully selected historical texts and recent work in the field wews, and applying philosophical concepts to the study of morality is their ments of the study of morality is subject to the concepts to the study of morality is subject to the concepts to the study of morality is subject to the concepts to the philosophical concepts to the study of morality is study of morality is study of morality is subject to the concepts to the problems at a critical way to moral limits of their ments of their ments of their ments of the same as a critical way to moral susses; (ii) greated as to their ments of the same as a critical way to moral limits of moral limits o									
carefully selected with count in dariferent work in the field show of and and recent work in the field applying philosophical concepts to the study of morality is sudy of morality in the field applying philosophical concepts to the study of morality is subject to the solutions in a critical way to make a judgement as to their merits of the concepts of the solution and in writing; (III) participating in seminar discussion of sometimes about, e.g., the metaphysical status of moral limits of markets) and reflecting on the structure, strengths and in writing; (III) participating in seminar discussion of sometimes about, e.g., the metaphysical status of moral limits of markets) and reflecting on the structure, strengths and in writing; (III) preparing for which will be a seminars and in writing; (IIII) thinking about (in individual study) and discussing (in seminars) various views in each debate studied, and by planning and writing an essay on selected issues which reaches its conclusion on the									
historical texts and recent work in the field historical texts about, e.g., the production of two essay-style answers historical which arise from that reading; (II) participating in seminar alicuscion of markets) and reflecting on the writing) to the solutions in a critical way to make a judgement as to their ments historical which with which arise from that reading; (III) preparation of and/or wooks and populations in a seminars and in writing; (III) preparation for two essay-style answers historical which with arise from that reading; (III) preparation of and/or wooks and publications of markets) and reflecting on the writing; (III) preparation of wooks and publications in a critical way to markets) and reflecting on the writing; (III) preparation of wooks and publications in a critical way to make a judgement as to their ments historical which withs, and applied ones about, e.g., the moral limits of markets) and reflecting on the writing; (III) preparation of wooks and or difficult works and or discussion of wooks and or difficult works and writing a				_					
and recent work in the field views, and applying philosophical concepts to the study of morality witing) to these solutions in a critical way to make a judgement as to their merits in seminars and in writing; (III) seminars and in writing; (III) thinking about (in individual study) and discussing in seminars and in writing; (III) thinking about (in individual study) and by planning and writing an essay on selected issues which even where the fire applied one shout, e.g., the metaphysical status of moral s		•							
in the field views, and applying philosophical concepts to the study of morality is study of morality in the field views in a critical way to make a judgement as to their merits in seminars and in writing; (III) preparing for the closed exam the closed exam the concepts of the closed exam the concepts of the closed exam the concepts of the closed exam the closed exam the concepts of the closed exam the closed e									
applying philosophical concepts to the study of morality to these solutions in a critical way to make a judgement as to their merits their merits in seminars and in writing; (III) pranticipating in seminar and shout, e.g., the moral limits of markets) and reflecting on the structure, solutions in a critical way to make a judgement as to their merits in seminars and in writing; (III) thinking about (in individual study) and discussing (in seminars) and water seminars various views in each debate studied, and by planning and writing an essay on selected issues which reaches its conclusion on the							•	•	
philosophical concepts to the study of morality is subject of thought, discussion and writing? to these solutions in a critical way to make a judgement as to their merits in seminars and in writing; (III) thinking about (in individual study) and discussing (in seminars) various views in each debate studied, and by planning an exsay on selected issues which reaches its conclusion on the		in the field					two essay-style		
concepts to the study of morality is use; (ii) about, e.g., the moral limits of markets) and markets) and markets) and markets and markets and contentious difficult moral issues; (ii) preparing for those arguments; (iii) preparing for the discussing these arguments; in seminars and in writing; (iii) thinking about (in individual study) and discussing (in seminars) various views in each debate studied, and by planning and writing an essay on selected issues which reaches its conclusion on the							answers	reading;	
study of morality responding (in thought, discussion and writing) to these solutions in a critical way to make a their merits in seminars and in writing; (III) thinking about (in individual study) and discussing (in seminars) various views in each debate studied, and by planning and writing an essay on selected issues which reaches its conclusion on the									
responding (in thought, discussion and writing) to these solutions in a critical way to make a judgement as to their merits their merits of in seminars) various views in each debate studied, and by planning and writing an essay on selected issues which reaches its conclusion on the									
thought, discussion and writing) to these solutions in a critical way to make a judgement as to their merits in seminars and in writing; (III) thinking about (in individual study) and discussing (in seminars) various views in each debate studied, and by planning and writing an essay on selected issues which reaches its conclusion on the			study of morality		about, e.g., the			discussion of	
discussion and writing) to these solutions in a critical way to make a those arguments; judgement as to their merits in seminars and in writing; (III) thinking about (in individual study) and discussing (in seminars) various views in each debate studied, and by planning and writing an essay on selected issues which reaches its conclusion on the					moral limits of			sometimes	
writing) to these solutions in a critical way to make a judgement as to their merits the merits				thought,	markets) and				
solutions in a critical way to make a those arguments; (III) discussing these arguments in seminars and in writing; (IIII) thinking about (in individual study) and discussing (in seminars) various views in each debate studied, and by planning and writing an essay on selected issues which reaches its conclusion on the				discussion and	reflecting on the			and/or difficult	
critical way to make a those arguments; judgement as to their merits these arguments in seminars and in writing; (III) thinking about (in individual study) and discussing (in seminars) various views in each debate studied, and by planning and writing an essay on selected issues which reaches its conclusion on the				writing) to these	structure,			moral issues;	
make a judgement as to their merits their merits their merits their merits in seminars and in writing; (III) thinking about (in individual study) and discussing (in seminars) various views in each debate studied, and by planning and writing an essay on selected issues which reaches its conclusion on the				solutions in a	strengths and			(III) preparing for	
judgement as to their merits in seminars and in writing; (III) thinking about (in individual study) and discussing (in seminars) various views in each debate studied, and by planning and writing an essay on selected issues which reaches its conclusion on the				critical way to	weaknesses of			the closed exam	
their merits these arguments in seminars and in writing; (III) thinking about (in individual study) and discussing (in seminars) various views in each debate studied, and by planning and writing an essay on selected issues which reaches its conclusion on the				make a	those arguments;				
in seminars and in writing; (III) thinking about (in individual study) and discussing (in seminars) various views in each debate studied, and by planning and writing an essay on selected issues which reaches its conclusion on the				judgement as to	(II) discussing				
in writing; (III) thinking about (in individual study) and discussing (in seminars) various views in each debate studied, and by planning and writing an essay on selected issues which reaches its conclusion on the				their merits	these arguments				
(III) thinking about (in individual study) and discussing (in seminars) various views in each debate studied, and by planning and writing an essay on selected issues which reaches its conclusion on the					in seminars and				
about (in individual study) and discussing (in seminars) various views in each debate studied, and by planning and writing an essay on selected issues which reaches its conclusion on the					in writing;				
individual study) and discussing (in seminars) various views in each debate studied, and by planning and writing an essay on selected issues which reaches its conclusion on the					(III) thinking				
individual study) and discussing (in seminars) various views in each debate studied, and by planning and writing an essay on selected issues which reaches its conclusion on the									
and discussing (in seminars) various views in each debate studied, and by planning and writing an essay on selected issues which reaches its conclusion on the									
seminars) various views in each debate studied, and by planning and writing an essay on selected issues which reaches its conclusion on the									
views in each debate studied, and by planning and writing an essay on selected issues which reaches its conclusion on the					_				
debate studied, and by planning and writing an essay on selected issues which reaches its conclusion on the									
and by planning and writing an essay on selected issues which reaches its conclusion on the									
and writing an essay on selected issues which reaches its conclusion on the									
essay on selected issues which reaches its conclusion on the									
issues which reaches its conclusion on the									
reaches its conclusion on the									
conclusion on the									
					basis of				
argument and									
the presentation									
of reasons									

						1			1
Stage 1	Introduction to	Progress towards		Students will	Students will:			Students will: (i)	
	Ancient	PLO	develop	develop their	(I) develop their			acquire basic	
	Philosophy B		understanding of		ability to			strategies for	
	PHI00010C		some key	and distinguish	articulate			approaching	
	10 Credits		problems, issues,	proposed	arguments		arguments orally;		
			and debates	solutions and	outlined in the		(ii) develop their	texts in a way	
				interpretations	module materials			which assists	
					and consider		key ideas and	understanding	
					these arguments		arguments in	and evaluation—	
					critically;			e.g. identifying	
					(II) develop their			key claims and	
					ability to critically			arguments; (ii)	
					assess arguments			develop their	
					presented in			abilities to	
					module			present answers	
					materials;			to study	
					(III) be able to			questions orally	
					contrast and			and to engage	
					compare			with the	
					positions and			contributions of	
					arguments			others	
					presented in				
					module materials				
					with alternative				
					positions and				
					arguments;				
					(IV) develop their				
					sensitivity to key				
					features of the				
					arguments				
					considered,				
					including validity				
					and plausibility of				
					premises;				
					(V) develop their				
					ability to form a				
					judgement on a				
					particular issue				
					based on				
					evidence they				
					have assembled				

		By working on (and if applicable, assessed through)	By reading Ancient Greek texts (in English translation) with support from lectures and seminar guidance notes		By studying the texts, and preparing for and engaging in seminar discussions	and summative work; (II) engaging actively in seminar discussions; (III) engaging with additional secondary material; (IV) discussing arguments found in the text/s studied in seminars and deciding on what to conclude from their essay discussions; (V) preparing an essay on an important critical issue addressed	(i) preparing for and actively participating in seminar discussions; (ii) preparing and writing formative and summative assessments	By (respectively): (i) engaging in regular seminar preparation involving guided reading; (ii) participating in seminar discussions guided by a seminar tutor	
Stage 1	Introduction to Sociological Theory (30 credits)	Progress towards PLO	introduced to the theories of core	original writings of sociological			participative learning in seminars, in which students are encouraged	Seminars are collaborative endeavours structured through cooperation around a range of learning and research tasks.	

By working on	Seminar tasks	In their first		Seminar tasks	Seminar tasks	
(and if applicable,		assessment,			(formative)	
		students critically		Spring term essay		
		reflect upon an		and Summer		
		original piece of		exams		
		writing from		(summative		
		classical social				
ľ				assessment)		
		theory. This is				
		intended to				
		challenge				
		students on the				
		course in their				
		common				
		assumptions (on				
		issues of gender,				
		social				
		organisation and				
		economic				
		systems) and to				
		read theory				
		through original				
		works rather				
		than textbook				
		summaries.				

C1	C III . III	In	G. J. J.	CL desta		1	CL destate	CL dealers	C	
Stage 1	Cultivating a	Progress towards		Students					Seminars require	
	Sociological	PLO	introduced to	evaluate					collaborative	
	Imagination (30		original	empirical			thinking about	principles of	team working on	
	credits)		sociological	sociological			matching	composing	a range of tasks	
			research studies,	research papers			research	academic	designed to	
			from a range of	and their			questions with	arguments by	develop students'	
			intellectual	findings, in order			appropriate	synthesising	understandings	
			conceptual and	to contextualise			research	supporting	of the	
			theoretical	and contest			methodologies	literature and	substantive	
			traditions, that	common-place				utilising their	themes of the	
			add to their	understandings				empirical findings	module	
			knowledge of	of core social				or theoretically		
			contemporary	categories and				driven arguments		
			social issues and	themes (such as						
			sociological	class, gender,						
			debates	race and age)						
				and open up						
				critical						
				understandings						
				of their						
				intersections and						
				complexities						

By working on	Seminar tasks	The Autumn term			Autumn and	These are skills	
(and if applicable,	(formative) and	assignment (a				drawn upon in a	
assessed	Spring term	critical review of			seminar tasks	routine way	
	essay and	an original		assessed) include		throughout the	
through)	Summer exams	research				module and are	
	(summative	paper) tests		research on	tasks, delivered	not assessed	
	assessment).	students' capacity		module topics,	orally and using	formally (although	
	Students will	for understanding		and these	digital	tutors report on	
	develop their	and critiquing the		introduce the	technologies.	them in indiviual	
	abilities in	hidden		principles of	Spring term	seminar report	
	interpreting social	assumptions of		planning research	essay and	forms)	
	issues and	empirically based		collaboratively	Summer exam		
	representing,	research. The			(summative		
	through their	Spring term			assessment) are		
	arguments, their	essay (a topic			designed so that		
	multi-facted	based essay) is a			students can		
	aspects	test of students'			develop their		
		developing			ability to		
		confidence in			synthesise the		
		questioning			arguments of		
		commonly held			others and use		
		assumptions			them to craft their		
		about core social			own intellectual		
		issues and			position on social		
		cultural trends			issues.		

Stage 2	Key Ideas	Progress towards	Students will: (i)			Students will: (i)	Students will: (i)	Students will:	
	Modules – Value	PLO	come to	Band only)	enhance their	develop their	improve their	(I) develop their	
	Band		understand and	Students will		ability to construct		ability to schedule	
	20 Credits			challenge	potential solutions		complex ideas	and execute a	
	20 Credits		a representative			evaluate	clearly and	sustained	
			range of debates	assumptions, and	and analogy	arguments; (ii)	precisely orally	investigation of	
			in the area	come to be able		develop their		difficult subject	
				to apply		ability to make	develop their	matter;	
			module, and	philosophical		judgements on	ability to identify	(II) develop their	
			apply their	methods and		what to believe	express key	ability to	
			understanding in	insights to		with regard to a	points of a debate	collaborate with	
			addressing novel	contemporary		difficult problem	in clear and	others;	
			problems; (ii)	moral problems		based on careful	concise terms;	(III) develop their	
			develop their			weighing of	(iii) develop their	ability to amend	
			ability to form and			arguments,	ability to present	their working	
			deploy a broad			objections, and	an extended	methods and	
			view of a subject			responses	discussion of a	thinking in the	
			area				difficult issue	light of	
								constructive	
								criticism;	
								(IV) develop their	
								ability to amend	
								their written work	
								in the light of	
								constructive	
								criticism	

D. (I D	D	D. (D. (De des services (Cont.)	1
By (respectively):	By using	By considering,	By (respectively):		By (respectively):	
(i) studying that	philosophical		(i) discussing		(I) engaging in a	
range of debates,	concepts to	comparing ranges			survey of the	
reading,	engage in	of solutions	and against		subject area	
dissecting, and	extended	presented in	solutions,		addressed by the	
discussing key	consideration of	lectures, seminar	identifying	assignments; (ii)	module, requiring	
texts; (ii)	questions of	materials, and	potential	preparing for and	engagement with	
engaging with	value	additional	objections to	taking the short-	linked lectures,	
teaching across		readings, and	those solutions,	answer exam; (iii)	regular reading	
the module in		trying to develop	and investigating	working to	from an extended	
preparation for		their own ideas	how those	prepare an essay	reading list, and	
the short-answer			objections might	on a specific topic		
exam element of			be responded to;		preparation for	
assessment			(ii) preparing an		seminar	
			essay addressing		discussions;	
			a specific		(II) engaging in	
			question in the		seminar	
			area addressed		discussions and a	
			by the module		variety of seminar	
			by the module		activities;	
					(III) reflecting on	
					comments on	
					their contributions	
					to seminar	
					discussions made	
					by colleagues	
					and tutors;	
					(IV) reflecting on	
					feedback on their	
					formative work	

Stage 2	Key Ideas Modules – Theoretical Philosophy Band	Progress towards PLO	Students will: (i) come to understand and be able to explain a representative range of debates in the area addressed by the module, and apply their understanding in addressing novel problems; (ii) develop their ability to form and	Students will enhance their ability to identify potential solutions by extrapolation and analogy	evaluate arguments; (ii) develop their ability to make judgements on what to believe with regard to a difficult problem based on careful weighing of arguments,	and in writing; (ii) develop their ability to identify express key points of a debate in clear and concise terms; (iii) develop their ability to present	others; (III) develop their ability to amend their working	
			module, and apply their understanding in addressing novel problems; (ii) develop their		judgements on what to believe with regard to a difficult problem based on careful weighing of	ability to identify express key points of a debate in clear and concise terms; (iii) develop their	(II) develop their ability to collaborate with others; (III) develop their ability to amend	
			ability to form and deploy a broad view of a subject area		arguments, objections, and responses	ability to present an extended discussion of a difficult issue	their working methods and thinking in the light of constructive criticism; (IV) develop their	
							ability to amend their written work in the light of constructive criticism	

	<u> </u>					
	By (respectively):	By consid		By (respectively):		
	(i) studying that	discussing		(i) engaging in	(I) engaging in a	
	range of debates,	comparing	g ranges arguments for	seminar	survey of the	
	reading,	of solution	ns and against	discussions and	subject area	
	dissecting, and	presented	I in solutions,	preparing written	addressed by the	
	discussing key	lectures, s	seminar identifying	assignments; (ii)	module, requiring	
	texts; (ii)	materials,		preparing for and	engagement with	
	engaging with	additional	objections to	taking the short-	linked lectures,	
	teaching across	readings,			regular reading	
	the module in	trying to d		working to	from an extended	
	preparation for	their own			reading list, and	
	the short-answer		objections might	on a specific topi		
	exam element of		be responded to:		preparation for	
	assessment		(ii) preparing an		seminar	
	400000		essay addressing		discussions;	
			a specific		(II) engaging in	
			question in the		seminar	
			area addressed		discussions and a	
			by the module		variety of seminar	
			by the medale		activities;	
					(III) reflecting on	
					comments on	
					their contributions	
					to seminar	
					discussions made	
					by colleagues	
					and tutors;	
					(IV) reflecting on	
					feedback on their	
					formative work	

Stage 2	Key Ideas Modules – History of Philosophy Band 20 Credits	Progress towards PLO	Students will: (i) come to understand and be able to explain a representative range of debates in the area addressed by the module, and apply their understanding in addressing novel problems; (ii) develop their ability to form and deploy a broad view of a subject area; PLUS (iii) (for History of Philosophy Band Only) develop their ability to form, amend, and refine a detailed understanding of a body of philosophical work	6 6 8 1	potential solutions by extrapolation and analogy	Students will: (i) develop their ability to construct and critically evaluate arguments; (ii) develop their ability to make judgements on what to believe with regard to a difficult problem based on careful weighing of arguments, objections, and responses		complex ideas clearly and precisely orally and in writing; (ii) develop their ability to identify express key points of a debate in clear and concise terms; (iii) develop their ability to present an extended discussion of a difficult issue	Students will: (I) develop their ability to schedule and execute a sustained investigation of difficult subject matter; (II) develop their ability to collaborate with others; (III) develop their ability to amend their working methods and thinking in the light of constructive criticism; (IV) develop their ability to amend their written work in the light of constructive criticism; (IV) develop their ability to amend their written work in the light of constructive criticism	
---------	---	----------------------	--	------------------	--	--	--	---	---	--

By (res	espectively):	By considering,	By (respectively):	By (resp	pectively): B	By (respectively):	
(i) stud	idying that	discussing, and	(i) discussing	(i) enga	ging in (I	I) engaging in a	
range	e of debates,	comparing ranges	arguments for	seminar	r s	urvey of the	
reading	ng, d	of solutions	and against	discussi	ions and s	ubject area	
dissec	cting, and	presented in	solutions,	preparin	ng written a	ddressed by the	
discus	ssing key	lectures, seminar	identifying			nodule, requiring	
texts; ((ii) (ii)	materials, and	potential	preparin	ng for and le	ngagement with	
engag	ging with	additional	objections to	taking th		nked lectures,	
teachir	ing across	readings, and	those solutions,			egular reading	
the mo	odule in t	trying to develop	and investigating	working		rom an extended	
prepar	aration for t	their own ideas	how those	prepare	an essay re	eading list, and	
the sh	nort-answer		objections might	on a spe	ecific topic a	idvance	
exam	element of		be responded to;		p	reparation for	
asses	ssment; (iii)		(ii) preparing an		s	eminar	
(Histor	ory Band		essay addressing		d	liscussions;	
only) ι	undertaking		a specific		(1	II) engaging in	
	tended		guestion in the			éminar	
investi	tigation into		area addressed		d	liscussions and a	
the tho	ought of		by the module		l v	ariety of seminar	
one pt	philosopher				a	ctivities;	
	group of				(1	III) reflecting on	
	sophers				1,	comments on	
·	.				th	heir contributions	
					to	o seminar	
					ld	liscussions made	
					lb	y colleagues	
						ind tutors;	
					II	IV) reflecting on	
						eedback on their	
						ormative work	

Stage 2	Second Year Option Module (Philosophy) – Lecture Based (Optional) 10 Credits	Progress towards PLO	Students will develop their ability to form a clear view of a topic which can be exploited to develop solutions	Students will develop their ability to identify and articulate solutions	Students will: (i) develop their ability to construct and critically evaluate arguments; (ii) develop their ability to make judgements on what to believe with regard to a difficult problem based on careful weighing of arguments, objections, and responses	ability to express themselves	Students will develop their ability to collaborate with others	

By studying some specific and interrelated problems in a specialist area of philosophy	By investigating and discussing proposals presented in lectures and seminar readings and investing the proposals presented in lectures and seminar readings identifyin potential objection those soil and investing the proposed in the propos	sing ts for nst	
		in the ressed	

			By approaching some specific and interrelated problems in a specialist area of philosophy primarily by independent reading and seminar discussions of primary texts		By forming their own views of proposals presented in primary texts and discussing their nature, relations, and possible elaboration in seminar reading groups	By (respectively): (i) discussing arguments for and against solutions, identifying potential objections to those solutions, and investigating how those objections might be responded to; (ii) preparing an essay addressing a specific question in the area addressed by the module		By making notes in advance of seminars, engaging in extended seminar discussions, and producing written assignments	By engaging in extended seminar discussions in which expository and clarificatory work must be completed without lecture back-up	
Stage 2	Social Research Methods (Sociology) 30 credits - CORE if students wish to take Sociology's Dissertation module in Year 3	Progress towards PLO		Students are taught how to evaluate and critically assess the methodology employed in existing empirical research, including their underlying and common-place assumptions about the topic of study			Students employ quantitative and qualitative research methods, and learn how different methodologies are appropriate for addressing different types of research questions. They are taught how to design whole research projects, considering data collection, data analyis, and ethics		Seminars require teamwork and collaborative work	

By working on	Summative	Practical skills are	Students work	\neg
(and if applicable,	assessment in	developed	together to design	,
	the form of a	through the	the student	- 1
assessed	methodological	student survey (a	survey, and	,
through)	critical review	sample survey	present the	1
	requires students	designed in	results of archival	1
	to evaluate and	seminars and	research they	1
	critically analyse	analysed in	have conducted.	1
	evidence-based	computer lab	This is not	1
	claims in social	sessions by	assessed	1
	research, in order	students) and	summatively.	1
	to draw out the	assessed through	Carrinatively.	1
	complexities of	summative		1
	the topic of	assessment in		- 1
	research	the form of a		- 1
	1	written research		1
		report. Practical		1
		qualitative skills in		,
		data collection		
		and analysis are		,
		learned in		,
		seminar settings.		,
		Students develop		1
		interview		
		schedules, run		1
		practice focus		1
		groups, conduct		
		their own		
		observation		
		studies, conduct		1
		thematic analysis		1
		of interview data,		
		and complete		
		other practical		
		tasks.		1
		Summative		1
		assessment		
		comes in the form		1
		of an essay		1
		discussing the		1
		application of		
		qualitative		,
		methods, and a		,
		research report		
		where they write		
		up the results of a		,
		piece of		,
		qualitative		,
		research they		,
		have conducted.		
		Students consider		
		how to ensure		,
		ethical issues are		,
		addressed in		
		reserach in		,
		practical seminar		
		exercises.		,
		Summative		ļ
	l l		I I	
		assessment in the form of a		

Stage 2 Second Y Sociology Module - and Semi Based 30 credit: are Option modules, list include Popular O Media an Society; 2 Sociology Health ar Illness; 3) Contemp Political Sociology Gender, 5 and Inequ Social Int and Cony Analysis; Divisions	y Option – Lecture inar s. These onal , from a ding 1) Culture, nd 2) y of nd) oorary y; 4) Sexuality uality; 5) teraction versation 6)	Students examine a range of contemporary issues on these modules, including questions of gender, race/ethnicity, class, power, health, technologies and globalisation. Students use a range of sociolgical theories to examine the implications of those issues for people's lives, social groups and institutions.	module, students are required to read original sociological research and theoretical papers, evaluate their findings or arguments and to use these to analyse and challenge common assumptions underlying contemporary social issues.		Students conduct their own mini- research project, designing the research questions, selecting and	will be expected to critically synthesise and communicate complex information and arguments about emerging social issues and contemporary debates.	Students are given tasks to prepare material on throughout our modules, in order to discuss in groups in class. These tasks can often relate to sensitive and/or controversial topics that generate divergent views. Students are required to discuss these views in a manner that is informed, critical and respectful.	
Divisions								

шецианиез	(and if applicable, assessed through)	sociological engagement with topics; essay and exam (summative) in which students argue their positions.	Seminar tasks (formative) requiring critical sociological engagement with topics; essay/exam/mini projects (summative assignments which vary by module) in which students argue their positions.		Social Interactions and Conversation Analysis ONLY: Analytic project (summative assessment)	debates and	Group discussions and presentations.	

Stage 3 Research-led	-0	KEY: Students will develop and	KEY: Students will develop and	BOTH KEY: Students will: (i)		Students will hone their	
Taught Modul		demonstrate their	demonstrate their		demonstrate their		
(Philosophy)		ability to provide		to analyse and		independently	
20 Credits		insightful and	progress and	generate	complex ideas	and in	
		detailed	identify solutions	sophisticated	and arguments in	collaboration with	
		explanations of	even where it's	logical		others	
		philosophical	unclear in the first		and accessible		
		problems	instance how to	come to be able	terms		
			proceed	to present a			
				sustained and detailed case for			
				a judgement			
				based on careful			
				weighing of			
				arguments,			
				objections, and			
				responses			

	By tackling difficult philosophical issues, including some at the forefront of contemporary debate	By working on a cutting-edge topic and engaging with a tutor working on an area in which they research	(i) engaging with	produce a substantial essay	By pursuing an exploration of a difficult topic, involving work on an extended reading list and regular creative dialogue with their tutor and fellow students in seminar discussions	
--	--	--	-------------------	-----------------------------	---	--

			By working independently on an advanced topic		By working independently to address a difficult problem	By (respectively): (i) working independently to engage with a high-level debate; (ii) working independently to produce a substantial essay seeking to provide an answer to a challenging philosophical question		By working independently to produce an essay on an advanced topic,	By pursuing an individual project in which they have to determine the question and plot a path through the material	
Stage 3	Dissertation (Sociology) 40 credits	Progress towards PLO	Students will be guided towards an independent project which demonstrates indepth understanding of sociological debates and empirical material and results in an original piece of work that rigorously and systematically analyses a social issue of their choice.	Students will evaluate and critically assess a wide range of empirical and theoretical literatures in the area of their choice			The dissertation module has at is heart an independent, ethically sound, research project which draws upon appropriate qualitative and/or quantitative skills to produce an empirically rigorous and theoretically coherent analysis of a social issue of the student's choice.	reports as well as - where		

By working on	4 hours of	4 hours of	All students must	4 hours of	
(and if applicable,	supervision,	supervision,	submit an ethics	supervision,	
assessed	dissertation	dissertation	proposal to the	dissertation	
through)	workshops,	workshops,	Departmental	workshops,	
	feedback on a	feedback on a	Ethics	feedback on a	
	draft (formative)	draft (formative)	Community for	draft (formative)	
	and a 10,000	and a 10,000	scrutiny and	and a 10,000	
	word report on a	word report on a	approval before	word report on a	
	topic of their	topic of their	they can begin	topic of their	
	choice	choice	their research. A	choice	
	(summative)	(summative)	dissertation	(summative)	
			workshop on		
			ethics is held		
			near the		
			beginning of the		
			module. 4 hours		
			of supervision,		
			feedback on a		
			draft (formative)		
			and a 10,000		
			word report on a		
			topic of their		
			choice		
			(summative)		
			(-3		

Stage 3	Research-led	Progress towards	Regardless of the	Regardless of the	Emotions in	Regardless of	Students work in	
	Taught Modules	PLO	module, in their	module, students	the Social	module, in their	seminar groups	
	(Sociology) 20		third year	are encouraged	World ONLY:	third year	each week to	
crec split	credits. These are		Sociology	to draw on	Students work		consider	
	split between		options, students	sociological	on an analysis		questions that	
	Autumn and		focus on the	research to offer	of cultural texts	skills in	often produce	
	Spring term		interpretation	theoretically	throughout the	synthesising	diverse views and	
	modules. They		and explanation	informed	module for a	relevant scholarly		
	are optional		of multi-faceted	critiques of	portfolio which		discuss these	
	modules, and		contemporary	common	is summatively	using their	views in a	
	they include: 1.		issues, and their	assumptions	assessed. They	findings to	manner that is	
	Advanced Social		implications for	about social	use this to develop their	present	both critical and	
	Theory; 2.		individual lives	issues and to	skills in	theoretically	respectful.	
	Analysing Doctor-		and social	provide analyses	epistemology	driven	respection	
	Patient		groups, using	that capture the	and in textual	arguments.		
	Interaction; 3.		knowledge of key	complexities of	analysis. Art,			
	Sociology of the		sociological	these issues.	Taste and			
	Paranormal; 4.		concepts and	tilese issues.	Stratification			
	Birth, Marriage,		theories to build		ONLY: As part			
			their		of the seminar			
Death; 5. Gender, Crime Sexuality;		understanding of		programme,				
			these issues.		students			
	6. Morbidity,		triese issues.		prepare a short			
	Culture and				research project			
	Corpses; 7.				proposal in			
	Cinema, Cities				small groups			
	and Crime; 8.				about how to			
	Sociology of the				study people's			
	North; 9. Humans				tastes and			
	and Other				cultural			
	Animals; 10.				consumption.			
	Migration and				Cinema, Cities			
	Tourism; 11.				and Crime			
	Racial State; 12.				ONLY:			
Global transformation of Health; 13. Emotions in the Social World; 14. Art, Taste, Stratification; 15. Body and Society.				Students design				
				and answer their own				
				research				
				projecton				
				themes such as				
				race, class or				
				nostalgia in				
				relation to a				
				standard, open-				
					ended essay			
					question on			
					cinematic			
					urbanism.			
					Students are			
					taught how to			
					analyse cinema			
					from a			
		I	I	I	qualitative	I	I	

D 11	CL dealers de al	+	Emotions in	+		
, ,	Students develop	Typically this is	Emotions in the Social	Typically this is	Group seminar	
(and if applicable,	_	demonstrated		demonstrated	discussions each	
		through the	World ONLY:	through the	week.	
through)	enquiry through	essay format	The portfolio	essay		
	reading and	(and	forms part of	(summative) -		
	seminar	summatively	their summative assessment.	though as noted		
	discussion	assessed) -	Art, Taste and	there is a variety		
	(formative). They	though as noted	Stratification	of assessments		
	also work on a	there is a variety	ONLY: The	used in some		
	summative	of assessments	group proposal	modules that		
	assessment,	used in some	takes place in	encompass oral		
	typically taking	modules that	week 9 Cities,	presentations.		
	the form of a	encompass oral	Cinema and	Whether in oral		
	4000 word essay,	presentations.	Crime ONLY:	presentation or		
	although some	Students will	The project	written work,		
	modules split	demonstrate	offers a	students will		
	summative	their capacity to	summative	demonstrate		
	assessment	challenge	assessment of	their confidence		
	between oral	evidence based	the ability to	in composing		
	presentations	claims about	design and	well-structured		
	and shorter	social issues	undertake	academic		
		through	research,	arguments		
	formats (Racial	deploying	written up in the	through the		
	State/Emotions	theoretical	student's essay.	review and		
	•	arguments that		synthesis of		
		add complexity		advanced		
	Marriage and	to their		statements of		
	Death).	understanding of		sociological		
		them.		theory		
				,		